



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING D | DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/522,008 11/23/2005 | | 2005 | Peter John Miller | KILBU P-73 / 500728. 1716 | |
| 26418 | 7590 | 04/13/2006 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| REED SMI | TH, LLP ENT RECORD | WILLIAMS JR, RONALD E | | | |
| | | E, 29TH FLOO | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , NY 10022-1 | • | 2121 | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summany | | 10/522,008 | MILLER ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Ronald E. Williams | 2121 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 No | ovember 2005. | | | | | |
| • — | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| ,— | The drawing(s) filed on 23 November 2005 is/a | | ed to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| ۵, | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | ot(s) | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/522,008

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to application filed on November 23, 2005.

2. Claims 1-16 have been examined.

Claim Objections

Claims 5-7 and 12-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative, and/or, cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-7 and 12-14 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 3 and 10 are indefinite for citing the phrase "first resistor of relatively high value". The Examiner is unsure as to what a relatively high value is.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2121

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuda (United States Patent Number: 4,709,341).

Regarding Claim 1, Matsuda discloses:

A control system for a load, the system comprising a first microprocessor having an output to drive one side of a load, a second microprocessor having an output to drive the other side of the load, (see Figure 3, elements 10a-10c and also see col 5, lines 39-41) the system being arranged so that when either microprocessor detects a fault in the control of the load the load is switched off. (see col 4, lines 27-31)

Regarding Claim 2, Matsuda discloses:

A system according to claim 1 wherein both microprocessors monitor the current in the load. (see col 1, lines 38-42)

Regarding Claim 3, Matsuda discloses:

A system according to claim 1 or 2 wherein a first resistor of relatively high value is connected between a driver output of the first microprocessor and an input of the second microprocessor (see col 4, lines 7-10), to allow the second microprocessor to monitor if the first microprocessor is attempting to turn on the load. (see col 7, lines 14-19)

Application/Control Number: 10/522,008

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding Claim 4, Matsuda discloses:

A system according to claim 3, wherein a second resistor with a value less than that of

Page 4

the first resistor, is connected between the output of the first microprocessor and a low

voltage to ensure a driver controlling the load is off whenever the output of the first

microprocessor is in a high resistance state. (see col 4, lines 7-10 and also see col 4,

lines 27-29)

Regarding Claim 5, Matsuda discloses:

A system according to any preceding claim wherein at least one of the microprocessors

is arranged to calculate the current of the load by measuring the voltage across it, and

when the load current does not meet pre-determined criteria, to switch out the load.

(see col 4, lines 24-29)

Regarding Claim 6, Matsuda discloses:

A system according to any preceding claim wherein the control system is a vehicular

control system. (see col 1, lines 61-68)

Regarding Claim 7, Matsuda discloses:

A system according to any preceding claim wherein the load is a gear box selector, a

clutch selector, or a valve. (see col 3, lines 45-54)

Regarding Claim 15, Matsuda discloses:

Art Unit: 2121

A control system for a load, the system comprising a first microprocessor having an output to provide a drive signal to drive the load, a second microprocessor to monitor the operation of the first microprocessor and the operation of the load, the system being arranged so that when the second microprocessor detects a fault in the operation of the first microprocessor and/or the operation of the load, the second microprocessor is arranged to switch the load or halt the operation of the first microprocessor. (see col 7, lines 14-21)

Claims 8-14 and 16 are directed to the same subject matter as claimed throughout claims 1-7 and 15; therefore claims 8-14 and 16 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 1-7 and 15 cited above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald E. Williams whose telephone number is 571 272 2590. The examiner can normally be reached on MWF 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 571 272 3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,008

Art Unit: 2121

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Knight

Supervising Patent Examiner

Page 6

Tech Center 2100

RW